

—http://www.cdc.gov/fluoridation/fact_sheets/cwf_qa.htm#

From Buzalaf:

“Fluoride concentrations as found in dental plaque have biological activity on critical virulence factors of *S. mutans* in vitro, such as acid production and glucan synthesis, but the in vivo implications of this are still not clear. Evidence also supports fluoride’s systemic mechanism of caries inhibition in pit and fissure surfaces of permanent first molars when it is incorporated into these teeth pre-eruptively.”

—Mechanisms of action of fluoride for caries control.

Buzalaf MA, et al.

Monogr Oral Sci. 2011;22:97-114. doi: 10.1159/000325151. Epub 2011 Jun 23.

Affiliation

Department of Biological Sciences, Orthodontics and Public Health, Bauru Dental School, University of São Paulo, Bauru, Brazil. mbuzalaf@fob.sup.br

Paul Connett has no healthcare education, training, or experience. He portrays himself to be an “authority” on fluoridation, yet has not one, single, peer-reviewed piece of scientific research or literature on fluoridation to his name. Those who wish to accept his opinions as authoritative, for whatever reason, are certainly free to do so. However it must be kept in mind that in accepting his opinions on fluoridation, one is rejecting the overwhelming consensus opinion of the worldwide body of highly respected healthcare organizations, healthcare experts, healthcare providers, U.S. Surgeons General, esteemed healthcare educators, public health experts, and respected regulatory agencies....all of which fully support the public health initiative of water fluoridation.

Steven D. Slott, DDS

[chris](#) | November 24, 2013 at 5:50 pm |

Myles. I am a ‘lurker’ Posting a few details as I can, I am not a graduate in anything, but I think Ken is doing a great job of keeping this debate on an even keel. I am quite happy lurking and learning from people who know a lot more than me



[Louise Mantella](#) | November 24, 2013 at 6:24 pm |

Your comment is awaiting moderation.

Dear Ken,

If you can point to me using that comment moderation in an unfair way then provide the evidence.

You’ve been censoring comments by Paul Melters. These comments were made in defense of Bill



Wilson whose private email you posted without permission.

Here is the evidence:

http://fluoridedentalexerts.com/html/ken_perrott.html

The personal attacks of Slott on Connett get really tiring.

The science presented by Melters seems impeccable.

L

Ken | [November 24, 2013 at 6:34 pm](#) |



Yes, Louise Mantella, I have imposed moderation on Paul Melters because of his personal attacks on other commenters (and now me). That just means they don't get approved – there is no censorship in the sense of deleting or modifying.

Bill Wilson was told that I do not get into personal email exchanges – he was commenting on a post and his comment logically should have gone there. I have urged him to make his comments in the normal way. This seems to be the only way of trying to encourage stalkers to comment normally.

If, as you assert, Melter's science is "impeccable" then I suggest you encourage him to comment here in the normal way about the science and stop attacking other commenters. I will happily approve civil comments like that.

Steve Slott | [November 24, 2013 at 7:21 pm](#) |



Louise

If you will notice, my comments about Connett are not personal attacks. They are statements of fact in regard to his qualifications and the information he provides. When anyone presents themselves as being an authority on anything, as Paul does with fluoride, it is completely fair to raise questions about his qualifications and claims. The fact that he, as he has done in the past and continues to do, gets offended and defensive when questions are raised about his qualifications and the validity of his book is, to me, very telling about the lack of confidence he has in his position and in his book. Others are certainly entitled to their opinion, as well, but any legitimate authority on any subject should be fully prepared to have his qualifications and claims questioned, and have the confidence in himself, his opinions, and his knowledge to withstand these questions and accept the fact that they are not only fair, but necessary.

Steven D. Slott, DDS

Richard Christie | [November 24, 2013 at 9:53 pm](#) |



An example would be Gatsby. Many of his comments in my opinion should have been censored but were not.



You mean comments from Cedric Katesby?

I totally disagree, his comments are one of the reasons I frequent Ken's blog.

They cut through shoddy thinking and poor methodology like a knife, and often contain a vein of humour, although I can understand those on the receiving end may feel uncomfortable. One way to avoid any flame is think harder before commenting.

Steve Slott | [November 25, 2013 at 1:38 am](#) |

Actually, in regard to Paul Melters, I've found him to be very entertaining with the webpage he has devoted to Dr. Johnson and me. It's flattering that anyone deems our posts to be of that much importance!



Steven D. Slott, DDS

Ken | [November 25, 2013 at 1:10 pm](#) |

Roger Waters – you are just spamming – repeating the same comment under different articles. Such spamming will not be approved.



Billy Budd | [November 25, 2013 at 7:56 pm](#) |

Myles6 – - with respect to a toxicologist's opinion. Here is one from possibly the world's most prestigious. He was chosen to chair the National Academy of Sciences investigation of the health effects of 4 ppm fluoride (the current maximum allowed by the EPA).



After egregious distortions of his statements for anti-fluoridation political use he said: "I do not believe there is any valid scientific reason for fearing adverse health conditions from the consumption of water fluoridated at the optimal level." – John Doull, PhD, Chairman of the 2006 National Research Committee report.

Louise Mantella | [November 25, 2013 at 10:23 pm](#) |

Your comment is awaiting moderation.

Dear Steve

I would not use the word "entertaining". I find it disturbing that someone has to go to such lengths to document that the information provided by dental professionals on this issue can be so false and misleading. Having been a witness to some of the exchanges I was shocked to learn that this same false information is used to influence municipalities to fluoridate water supplies.



L

Blog at WordPress.com. The Coraline Theme.

Follow

Follow “Open Parachute”

Get every new post delivered to your Inbox.

Join 1,763 other followers

Enter your email address

Sign me up

Powered by WordPress.com